Table 1 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2003)		
Alabama	17,600	30,400
Alaska	3,000	5,300
American Samoa	400	700
Arizona	17,100	29,100
Arkansas	7,500	12,900
California	102,800	153,600
Colorado	12,500	22,800
Connecticut	6,800	11,700
Delaware	4,100	6,800
District of Columbia	6,200	8,500
Florida	72,400	121,200
Georgia	34,700	61,900
Guam	200	200
Hawaii	7,000	11,200
Idaho	4,400	7,800
Illinois	44,000	85,700
Indiana	18,500	34,300
lowa	8,200	14,100
Kansas	8,600	14,100
Kentucky	22,900	39,900
Louisiana	32,000	54,600
Maine	3,100	4,500
Maryland	18,200	30,000
Massachusetts	23,200	32,200
Michigan	31,200	61,200
Minnesota	14,300	25,700
Mississippi	13,200	23,300
Missouri	22,000	37,900
Montana	3,100	5,200
Nebraska	6,800	12,000
Nevada	3,600	6,100
New Hampshire	5,000	7,300
New Jersey	26,900	37,500
New Mexico	12,500	22,100
New York	83,200	142,700
North Carolina	52,300	88,300
North Dakota	3,000	4,700
Northern Marianas	200	400
Ohio	29,800	52,100
Oklahoma	12,900	21,300
Oregon	11,900	22,100
	33.200	
Pennsylvania	33,200	60,700
Puerto Rico		-
Rhode Island	3,700	5,800
South Carolina	14,100	23,000
South Dakota	2,700	4,300
Tennessee	27,000	52,100
Texas	62,900	117,300
Utah	4,700	8,900
Vermont	2,400	3,70
Virgin Islands	-	-
Virginia	15,500	25,800
Washington	31,700	52,900
West Virginia	5,400	9,200
Wisconsin	10,400	18,200
Wyoming	2,500	4,100
Total	1,023,500	1,751,300

Notes applicable to all tables:

February 1, 2005

All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers.

## Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2003.
- 2. The "adjusted" numbers were obtained by multiplying the respective "unadjusted" numbers by the reported pooling factor percentage that was applicable to the ACF-801 data.
- 3. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.
- 4. All states provide an actual count of families served. However, the number of children served is not a direct count based on the fact that some States elect to submit sample data versus full population data. For States that submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families is determined and then multiplied by the number of families served to obtain an estimate of the number of children served.
- 5. Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were unable to report ACF-801 case-level data before report preparation.

6. On an ongoing basis, CA serves a significant number of families and children through State funds. Beginning in FY 2002, CA recognized and corrected their reporting to show that only 86% of families and children are being served through CCDF (as opposed to 100%). The other 14% are actually being served by non-CCDF funds. Prior to FY 2002, NY reported children based on a payments system which allowed for a child to be counted more than once. Beginning with FY 2002, NY revised their reporting system. This has had the effect of eliminating double-counting. These changes lowered the national child counts by nearly 100,000 for FY 2002 and FY 2003 as compared to what was reported for FY 2001.